

280261 28 February 1961

	VIA:	Deputy Director (Support)
	SUBJECT:	Compensation increase
	RIFIRENCES	a. Memo ir DPD thru DD/P for DCI dtd 9 Nov 60, same subject
		b. Meme ir DD/S fee DCI dtd 21 Nev 60,
		c. Memo for DD/P fr DPD dtd 23 Feb 61, same subject
25X1A9A	Division requested a c iron l September 1960. Res	a., the Acting Chief, Development Projects empensation increase for centract employee m \$14,500 to \$15,500 per annum effective ference a. did not go into any great detail of this request, and in reference b. the DD/S non-concurrence in the request as presented.
	Upon receiving these processes, DPD to commen	papers from the DD/S, I requested the Acting at on the DD/S's objection and to provide a stantiating his original request. His response
	Upon receiving these processes the commentation of the commentatio	papers from the DD/S, I requested the Acting at on the DD/S's objection and to provide a stantiating his original request. His response see c. nos c. to be most persuasive. Although DPD and filling in this case, they have now in my on which is equitable from the standpoint of
25X1A9A	Upon receiving these processing these processing these processes of the comments of the commen	papers from the DD/S, I requested the Acting at on the DD/S's objection and to provide a stantiating his original request. His response ce c. Acc c. to be most persuasive. Although DPD and filling in this case, they have now in my in which is equitable from the standpoint of it The combining of some aspects at employment with the employment practices
25X1A9A 5X1A9A	Upon receiving these processing these processing these processes of the comments of the commen	papers from the DD/S, I requested the Acting at on the DD/S's objection and to provide a stantiating his original request. His response ce c. nce c. to be most persuasive. Although DPD and filling in this case, they have now in my on which is equitable from the standpoint of it The combining of some aspects



3. I therefore request that reference a., as amplified by reference c., be approved. I am sending this memorandum and the associated papers via the DD/S so that he may have an opportunity to reconsider his non-concurrence if he feels such action justified in view of the additional information presented in reference c.

FICHARD M. BISSELL, JR. Deputy Director (Plane)

131

ect DDGI AC/DPD

Original & 1 - DCI

lat ind.

To: Director of Central Intelligence

3 March 1961

25X1A9A

25X1C4C

1. Since Mr. has now occupied his position for approximately
eight months, we must respect the opinion of his supervisors as to whether
he should receive a salary of \$15, 500 as being "commensurate with his
responsibilities and compatible with other salaries." The
question of principle, however, as to whether an employee in this or a sim-
flar situation can have the best of both worlds, remains unanswered.

2. The money involved in this particular case is relatively small, \$795 per assum more than he would have received at the grade GS-15 level. Since the Director's original approval allowed \$830 more than Mr. GS-15 salary at that time, approval of the request would be consistent. However, my views as to the handling of this case by the Davelopment Pro-

25X1A9A

